of Parcel B, in section 14, T. 7 N., R. 85 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group No. 979, was accepted March 2, 1995.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines, T. 27 S., R. 57 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group No. 1001, was accepted February 23, 1995.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the Third Standard Parallel South (south boundary), a portion of the north boundary, and a portion of the subdivisional lines, T. 15 S., R. 85 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group No. 1060, was accepted January 27, 1995.

These surveys were executed to meet certain administrative needs of the U.S. Forest Service.

Carl F. Nagy,

Acting Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado. [FR Doc. 95–9102 Filed 4–12–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–JB–P

#### [ID-942-7130-00-7661]

## Idaho: Filing of Plats of Survey; Idaho

The plats of the following described land was officially filed in the Idaho State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Boise, Idaho, effective 9:00 a.m., April 6, 1995.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the north boundary, subdivisional lines, and meanders of the Middle Fork of the Clearwater River, the subdivision of section 5, and a metes-and-bounds survey in section 5, T. 32 N., R. 4 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, Group No. 893, was accepted, April 3, 1995.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the North Boundary of the Nez Perce Indian Reservation and the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of section 20, T. 37 N., R. 1 W., Boise Meridian, Idaho, Group No. 897, was accepted April 3, 1995.

These surveys were executed to meet certain administrative needs of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Northern Idaho Agency.

All inquiries concerning the survey of the above described land must be sent to the Chief, Branch of Cadastral Survey, Idaho State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 3380 Americana Terrace, Boise, Idaho, 83706.

Dated: April 6, 1995.

Duane E. Olsen,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Idaho.

[FR Doc. 95–9103 Filed 4–12–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–GG–M

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation 332-359]

Chile: Probable Economic Effect on U.S. Imports, Industries, Consumers, and Exports of Accession to the North American Free Trade Agreement and Report on Services Trade

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation and scheduling of public hearing.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 6, 1995.

SUMMARY: Following receipt on March 8, 1995, of a request from the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR), the Commission instituted investigation No. 332–359, Chile: Probable Economic Effect on U.S. Imports, Industries, Consumers, and Exports of Accession to the North American Free Trade Agreement and Report on Services Trade, under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1332(g)) for the purpose of—

- (a) Advising the President, with respect to each item in chapters 1 through 98 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), as to the probable economic effect of providing, under the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), duty-free treatment for imports of products of Chile on industries in the United States producing like or directly competitive articles and on consumers;
- (b) Advising the President, with respect to each product sector, of the probable economic effect on U.S. exports to Chile of the removal of Chilean import duties under the NAFTA; and
- (c) Preparing a report on U.S. service transactions with Chile that would (1) provide an overview of the nature and extent of such transactions; (2) highlight key U.S. service industries that export services to Chile; (3) identify principal nontariff barriers that impede the participation of U.S. services providers in the Chilean market; and (4) assess the effects of such barriers on U.S. service providers.

As requested by the USTR, the advice will assume that U.S. nontariff measures that are incompatible with the NAFTA will not be applicable to such imports, and the Commission will note in its report any instance in which the continued application of a U.S. nontariff measure would result in different advice with respect to the effect of the removal of the duty.

Similarly at the request of the USTR, the advice with respect to the removal of Chilean duties on U.S. products will assume that any known Chilean nontariff measures incompatible with the rules of the NAFTA will not be applicable to U.S. products, and any instance where the continued application of such a Chilean nontariff measure would result in different advice will be noted by the Commission.

The Commission expects to submit its report by September 8, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Information on general topics may be obtained from the project leader, Mr. James Lukes, Office of Industries (202-205-3426) or the assistant project leader, Ms. Gail Burns, Office of Industries (202–205– 2501) and on legal aspects, from Mr. William Gearhart, Office of the General Counsel (202-205-3091). The media should contact Ms. Margaret O'Laughlin, Office of Public Affairs (202-205-1819). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the TDD terminal on (202-205–1810). For information on a product basis, contact the appropriate member of the Commission's Office of Industries, as follows:

- (1) Agricultural and forest products, Mr. Douglas Newman (202–205–3328)
- (2) Chemical, energy-related, textile, apparel, and footwear products, Mr. Lee Cook (202–205–3471)
- (3) Minerals, metals, machinery, and miscellaneous manufactures, Ms. Gail Burns (202–205–2501)
- (4) Electronic and transportation products, Ms. Deborah McNay (202–205–3425)
- (5) Service industries, Mr. Christopher Melly (202–205–3461)

#### Background

The request letter noted that at the conclusion of the Summit of the Americas meeting in Miami in December, President Clinton, the Prime Minister of Canada, and the Presidents of Mexico and Chile jointly announced their decision to begin the process by which Chile will accede to the NAFTA. The announcement also stated that the Ministers responsible for trade from the four countries would meet by May 31, 1995, and that accession negotiations would begin expeditiously thereafter. The USTR indicated in his request letter that the Commission's assistance is needed in the work of preparing for these negotiations.

The USTR requested that the Commission provide its advice with respect to the removal of U.S. tariffs as if this request had been made pursuant to section 131 of the Trade Act (the Trade Act). If trade agreement negotiating and legislative procedures

requiring advice under section 131 of the Trade Act are enacted by Congress prior to completion of the Commission's report, the USTR indicated in the request letter that he will request that the advice with respect to U.S. tariffs be converted to a report under section 131. If trade agreement negotiating and legislative procedures requiring advice under section 131 of the Trade Act are enacted by Congress after the report is completed, the request letter indicated that the Commission will be requested to provide such advice under section 131.

#### Public Hearing

A public hearing in connection with the investigation will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC, beginning at 9:30 a.m. on July 19, 1995, and continuing, as required, on July 20. All persons shall have the right to appear, by counsel or in person, to present information and to be heard. Requests to appear at the public hearing should be filed with the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, no later than 5:15 p.m., July 7, 1995. Any prehearing briefs (original and 14 copies) should be filed not later than 5:15 p.m., July 10, 1995; the deadline for filing posthearing briefs or statements is 5:15 p.m., July 25, 1995.

In the event that, as of the close of business on July 7, 1995, no witnesses are scheduled to appear at the hearing, the hearing will be cancelled. Any person interested in attending the hearing as an observer or nonparticipant may call the Secretary to the Commission (202–205–2000) after July 7, 1995, to determine whether the

hearing will be held.

Because the Commission expects to provide detailed advice on narrowly defined industries and product lines, testimony and briefs should focus on specific industries and products rather than broad issues of trade policy. In the context of specific industries and products, the Commission is interested in receiving information on existing nontariff barriers to trade with Chile.

Requests to appear at the hearings must contain the following information:

- a. A description of the article or articles on which testimony will be presented, including, if possible, the item number or numbers in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (1995) covering the article or articles.
- b. The name and organization of the witness or witnesses who will testify, and the name, address, telephone

number, and organization of the person filing the request.

c. A statement indicating whether the testimony to be presented will be on behalf of importers, domestic producers, consumers, or other interests.

#### Written Submissions

In lieu of or in addition to participating in the hearing, interested parties are invited to submit written statements concerning the matters to be addressed by the Commission in its report on this investigation. Commercial or financial information that a submitter desires the Commission to treat as confidential must be submitted on separate sheets of paper, each clearly marked "Confidential Business Information" at the top. All submissions requesting confidential treatment must conform with the requirements of § 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.6).

Because the Commission intends to use the information collected in the course of the section 332 investigation in the section 131(b) investigation, should one be requested, the Commission requests that all such requests for confidential treatment filed in connection with the section 332 investigation contain the following consent statement: "I consent to the use of this confidential business information by the Commission in preparing its advice to the President on this matter under section 131(b) of the Trade Act of 1974." Submissions requesting confidential treatment not containing this consent statement will be returned to the submitter. Any grant of confidential treatment to information received in the section 332 investigation would continue to apply to such information if it is used in the section 131(b) investigation.

All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available in the Office of the Secretary to the Commission for inspection by interested parties. To be assured of consideration by the Commission, written statements relating to the Commission's report should be submitted to the Commission at the earliest practical date and should be received no later than the close of business on July 25, 1995. All submissions should be addressed to the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436.

Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.

Issued: April 7, 1995.

By order of the Commission. Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-9088 Filed 4-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response.** Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in United States of America v. Bayard Mining Corp., Mining Remedial Recovery Corp., and VIACOM International Inc., Civil Action No. 95-285-MVLFG, was lodged on March 21, 1995 with the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico. Contemporaneously with the lodging of the consent decree, the United States filed a civil action under Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, for injunctive relief to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health and welfare or the environment due to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from a facility, and for recovery of response costs that have been and will be incurred by the United States in response to releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances from the same facility. known as the Cleveland Mill Superfund site, located in Grant County, New Mexico. Under the proposed Consent Decree, Settling Defendants will conduct or finance 100% of the remedial design and remedial action at the Site; pay 100% of past and future costs; and pay for damages to natural resources at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044. Comments should refer to United States of America v. Bayard Mining Corp., Mining Remedial Recovery Corp., and VIACOM International Inc., DOJ Ref. #90-11-3-1171.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 625 Silver, SW, Suite